



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/785,057

02/25/2004

Paul M. Julich

GEH01 057

8932

39290 7590 11/05/2008

DUANE MORRIS LLP - DC

505 9th Street

Suite 1000

WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2166

EXAMINER

BEHNCKE, CHRISTINE M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3661

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/05/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/785,057	Applicant(s) JULICH ET AL.	
	Examiner CHRISTINE M. BEHNCKE	Art Unit 3661	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CHRISTINE M. BEHNCKE. (3) ____.

(2) Ryan Hardee (Reg. No. 57,515). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 31 October 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 4,6,9,12 and 17.

Identification of prior art discussed: Gottschlich, MacPhail, and Morariu.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claims were discussed in view of the applied references, particularly Gottschlich and MacPhail combination, the supplied background of the invention and the discussion held of the claimed invention. An agreement was reached that the claimed invention in view of Applicant's Remarks and discussion held may distinguish from the applied prior art of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Christine M. Behncke/ Examiner, Art Unit 3661	/Thomas G. Black/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3661
---	---